मा सर्वोच्य न्यायाल्याने रॅगिंग प्रतिबंध करण्यासंदर्भात दिलेल्या निर्णयांची अंगलबंजाधनी करण्याबाबत...

महाराष्ट्र सासन उच्च य तंत्र शिक्षण विभाग् परिपत्रक क्रमांक : संकीर्ण २००५/(२३८/०५)/हिशि-९ मंत्रासय विस्तार भवन, मुंबई ४०० ०३२. विनोक्त : ९८ जुले, २००७

#### परिपन्नक :

केरका विद्यापीठ विकास कीन्सिल, ग्रिन्सिपॉल्स, कॉलेजेस, कॅरका ॲन्ड इतर या स्पेशल लिख ऑफिल (Civil ) क्रमांक २४२९५/२००४ मध्ये मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायासाने विभाक १६ मे, २००७ रोजी विलेल्या अंतरिम आदेशाच्या अनुम्भाने, रोक्सणिक संस्थांमधून रॅगिंग होक नये म्हणून काही तालढीच्या उपाययोजना कशावयाच्या आहेत.

- २. रॅगिंग प्रतिबंध संदर्शत मा. सर्वोच्च न्वाक्रलयाने दिनाक २७ नोकेंबर, २००६ रोजी विलेल्या आवेशानुसार केंद्र सासनाच्या मनुष्यंबळ विकास मंत्रालयाने बॉ.जार.के.राधवन, माजी संवालक, सी.बी.जार्य. वाच्या अध्यक्षतेखाली एक सनिती गर्वात केली होती. सदर समितीने दिनाक ७ मे. २००७ रोजी आपला अहवाल मा. सर्वोच्च न्यायालयास सादर केली. तो विचाराह प्रेडन मा. सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने विनाक १८ में, २००७ रोजी विविध प्राविकरणांना निर्देश दिले मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने विलेल्या अंतरिन आवेशांकी प्रत साबत जोडली आहे. मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने विलेल्या अंतरिन आवेशांकी प्रत साबत जोडली आहे. मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने सितीच्या अहवालातील खातींत शिकारहीथी तातटीने अमलबजावणी करण्याचे निर्देश विलेले आहेत.
  - पॅनिंग सारक्ष्मा गुन्झाची पुनरावृत्ती डोक नये न्हणून देण्यात येणारी तिका डी क्ष्मक असाबी, खेले क्षमक इतराना विद्यात बसेल.
  - २) रैमिनच्या प्रत्येक घटनेनको संस्था स्तरावेर करण्यात आलेली कारकाई जर संबंधीत विद्यारवाँला (Viction) किंवा स्थाच्या पालकांला किंवा संस्थेच्या प्रमुखाला समाधानकारक याटत नसेल तर कोणताही अपवार्य न कपता संस्थेच्या प्राविका-वांनी स्थानिक पोलिसांकडे F.L.R. वाखल कराया. वटी पडलेल्या विद्यार्थाच्या पालकांना पोलिसांनच्ये परस्पर F.L.R. दाखल करावाचा असला, तरीही संस्थेच्या प्राधिका-यांनी F.L.R. दाखल करावे आवश्यक राहील.
  - ३) रैक्सणिक संस्थेकवृत्र प्रवेशासाठी छापलेख्या पुरितकेमध्ये (Prospectus) असे स्पन्टपणे नमूद कवाने की, प्रवेशासाठी वेणाए विद्यार्थी सामूर्यी रॅगिंग करण्यासको गुंतलेखा असेस सह स्थाना प्रवेश माकारण्यास श्रेहेंस किया प्रवेश दिस्यानंतरही असे निवर्जनास आसे की, सदर विद्यार्थी पॅनिंग करण्यामध्ये गुंतलेखा होता तर स्थाल निकारित करण्यास वेदिन.

- ४) संबंधीत संख्येचे प्राधिकारी आणि कार्यकर्ते यांची रॅगिंगला प्रतिबंध करण्याची सामुदायिक जवाबदारी राष्ट्रील. रौक्षणिक संख्येने रॅगिंगला प्रतिबंध करण्यासाठी परिणामकारक उपायबोजना केली किंवा नाही यांची शासनाला तपासणी करता वेईल आणि जर यामध्ये त्यांनी योग्य ती कार्यवाठी केली नखेल तर राज्य शासनाककृत अनुदान नाकारण्यासारखी कारवाई केली जाबू शकेल.
- ५) शैक्षणिक संस्थांनी रॅगिंग प्रतिकंव समित्या आणि प्रचक तात्वीने स्थापन करागीत. मा. सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने तात्वीने अमलबजावणी करण्यासाठी निदेश दिलेल्या वरील शिफारशींची अमलबजावणी केली आहे की नाही, हे पाहण्याचे काम सदद समित्यां आणि प्रथकाचे राहील. मा.सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाच्या निदेशाप्रमाणे शिफारशींची अमलबजावणी होत नसेल तर समित्यांनी ही बाब मा.सर्वोच्च म्कायालयाच्या निदर्शनास आणण्यात यात्री.
- इ. तरी सर्व संबंधीतांना कळिकिण्यात वेते की, ना सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने दिलेल्या आदेशांची तालकीने अंमलबजावणी करण्याच्या वृष्टीने सदर परिषत्रक व ना सर्वोक्त न्यायालयाचा निर्णय सर्व नहाविद्यालये, र्रक्काणक संस्था यांच्या निवर्शनास आणादे.
- ४. सदर शासन निर्णय नद्वाराष्ट्र शासनाच्या www.maharashtra.gov.in या वेबसाईटवर उपलब्ध करण्यात आला असून, स्वाद्या सगणक सांकेतांक क्रा.२००७०७१८.१७३००५००१ असा आहे.

महाराष्ट्राचे राज्यपाल गांच्या आदेशानुसार व नांवाने.

्रिक्रांकार्ड प्रत्याविक् (ज.म.अंबादे)

कार्यांसन अधिकारी, महाराष्ट्र शासन

### प्रति,

- शिक्षण 'संचालक ( उच्च शिक्षण) महाराष्ट्र राज्य, पुणे
- २) सर्व विभागीय सहसंधालक, संच्य शिक्षण
- इ) सर्व विद्यापीठाचे कुलसचिव,
- ४) गृह विभाग, मंत्रालय, मुंबई
- ५) शालेय शिक्षण विनाग, मंत्रालय , मुंबई
- ६) रिक्सण संचालक,महाराष्ट्र शंख्य, पुणे
- ७) वैद्यकिय रिकाण विभाग, मंत्रालय, मुंबई
- ८) संचालक, वैद्यकीय शिक्षण आणि संशोधन, अहरराष्ट्र राज्य, मुंबई
- ९) कृषी व प.यू.मः विभाग, भंत्रालय,गुंबई ९०) निवंड नस्ती, (विशि-१)

(204)

finis: - 4 Juli 7967

DIMS कुन्न कचित्रांच 'काव का

to csolato frage

92/8/20-CON

D.No. 370/04/X1-A Dated: 18th May, 2007.

Prom:

The Austriant Registrar, Supreme Court of India.

To:

- The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resources Department, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
- Sh. R.K. Raghavan.
   (Ex-Director, CBI), Chairman of the Committee on Ragging.
   To be served through The Societary.
   Ministry of Huttan Resource Development.
   Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-1.
- The Director, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Member, Committee on Ragging, Kanpur (U.P.).
- The Principal,
   Doctor Mariana Azad Medical College,
   Member, Committee on Ragging,
   New Delhi-110 002.
- The Principal, Ramius College, University of Dethi, Member, Committee on Rugging, Delhi.
- Sir. Sunil Kumar, Joint Secretary,
   Ministry of Human Resource Development,
   Member Convenor, Committee on Ragging,
   Shastri Bhawap, New Delhi.
- Mr. Gopal Subramanyan (Amicus-Curine),
   Ld. Addl. Solicitor General for India.
- 8. The Director,
  National Council for Educational Research and Training,
  Aurobindo Marg, New Delhi.

क्रियम (31

De Williams

- 2 .
- 9. The Chairman,
- University Grants Commission. Bahadurahah Zafar Man. New Delha
- 10. The Registrar, Calcutta High Court, Calcutta (Wast Bengal).
- 11 The Registrar, High Cours of Bombay, Bombay (Maharashtra)
- 12. The Registrar, High Court of Allehahad, Allahahad (U.P.)
- 13. The Registrar, High Court of Andhra Pradush, Hydershad (A.P.).
- 14. The Registras, High Court of Chhattisgarh, Bilaspor (Chhattisgarb).
- 15. The Registrer, Delhi High Court. Sher Sheh Suri Road, New Delhi.
- to.The Registrar, High Court of Jharkhand, Ranchi (Jhackhand).
- 17.The Registrac, High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur (M.P.).
- 18. The Registrar, High Court of Ponjah & Haryana, Chundigarh.
- 19 The Registrac, fligh Court of Rajasthan, Jodhpor(Rajasthan).
- 20. The Registrar, High Court of Palma, Patna (Rihar).

- 21. The Registrar, High Court of Madaux, Chennai (Tamil Nadu).
- 22. The Registrar, High Court of Kerela, Proskulam.
- 23. The Registrar, Katnataka High Court, Bangalore (Karnataka).
- 24. The Registrar. Clajarat High Court. Abmedabad (Gojarat).
- 25 The Registrar, The Gauhatt High Court, Cauhatt (Assam).
- 26. The Registrar, Himschal Pradesb High Court, Shimle (H.P.).
- 27. The Registrar, Orisse High Court, Cuttack (Orissa).
- 28. The Registrur, James & Kashmir High Court, Sringer (J&K).
- 29. The Registrar, Sikkim High Court, Bangtok (Sikkim).
- 30. The Registrar, High Court of Uttaranchal, Nainital (Uttaranchal).
- 31. State of Andhra Pradezh, through the Chief Secretary. Hyderahad (Andhra Pradezh).
- 32.State of Arunachal Pradesh, through the Chief Secretary, Itanagar (Arunachal Pradesh).

- 33. State of Assam, through the Chief Secretary, Disput (Assam):
- 34. State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Paten (Bihar).
- 35. State of Chhattisgath, through the Chief Secretary, Raipur (Chhattisgath).
- 36. State of Gos, through the Chief Secretary, Panaji (Gos).
- 37.State of Gujerat, through the Chief Secretary, Gundhinagar (Gujarat).
- 38. State of Haryaga, through the Chief Socretary, Chandigurb.
- 39.State of Himachal Pradesh, through the Chief Secretary, Shimle (H.P.).
- 40. State of James & Kashmir, through the Chief Secretary, Scienger (J&K).
- 41.State of Jharkhand, through the Chief Secretary, Reachi (Jharkhand).
- 42. State of Karamake, through the Chief Secretary, Bangalore (Karamake).
- 43. State of Ketala, through the Chief Secretary. Thicureneothepurem (Kecala).
- 44.State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Chief Secretary, Bhopal (M.P.).

- 45 State of Maharashtra, through the Chief Secretary. Mumbai (Maharashtra).
- 46. State of Manipur, through the Chief Secretary, Imphal (Manipur).
- 47:State of Meghalaya, through the Chief Secretary. Shillong (Meghalaya)
- 48.State of Mizoram.
  through the Chief Secretary,
  Airwal (Mizoram).
- 49 State of Nagaland, through the Chief Secretary, Kohima (Nagaland).
- 50.State of Orissa, through the Chief Secretary, Bhubneshwar (Orissa).
- 51.State of Punjab, through the Chief Sectetary, Chandigath.
- 52.State of Rejesthen, through the Chief Secretary, Joipur (Rhinsthan).
- 53.State of Sikkim, through the Chief Secretary, Gangtok (Sikkim).
- 54.State of Tabil Nada.: through the Chief Secretary. Cheunai (T.N.).
- 55. State of Tripura, through the Chief Secretary, Asartale, Elkinura).
- 56.State of Utter Pradesh, through the Chief Secretary, Lucknew (UP)

- 57. State of Uttaranchal, through the Chief Segretary, Dehradun (Uttaranchal).
- 58.State of West Bengal, through the Chief Segretary, Kulkata (W.B.).
- 59. Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands.
  through its Administrator,
  Port Blair
- 60 Union Territory of Chandigath, through its Administrator, Chandigath:
- Government of NCT of Delhi, through its Chief Secretary, Delhi Secretariat, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.
- 62.Union Territory of Damon & Diu, through its Administrator, Moti Damon.
- 63. Union Territory of Dadra & Negar Haveli, through its Administrator, Silvassa.
- through its Administrator,

  Kaymani
- 65 Union Territory of Pondicherry, through its Administrator, Pondicherry.

## PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 24295 & 14296-99 OF 2004 WITH PRAYAR-FOR INTROIM-RELIEF.

The University of Karnin etc. etc.

...Petitioner

The Council of Principals' of Colleges in Kerala (Principals' Council) & Out. Ric. etc.

...Respondents

· VIERSUS

Sir.

In continuation of this Registry's letter of even number datext 30° November, 2006. I am directed to inform you that the matters above-mentioned were listed before this Honble Court on 16° May, 2007 when the Court was pleased to pass certain directions to the various authorities viz. Committee on Ragging, Central Government, Courts, all the States and Union Territories, National Council for Educational Research and Training (NCERT), State Council for Educational Research and Training (SCERT), Institutional Authorities and its respective functionaries.

In view of the aforesaid a certified copy of the Order as contained in the Record of Proceedings dated 16th May, 2007, is enclosed herewith for your information and necessary compliance.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours faithfully,

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Encl: As above.

Y

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

073303

Furntion(s) for Spacial Lauve to Appeal (Civil) No(s).24255/2004

throw the judgement and order dated 24/96/2004 in MP No. 30845/2003 of the RISH COURT OF KERALA AT ERMANULAND

UNIVERSITY OF MERALA

VER EUG

COUNCIL, PRINCIPALS', COLLEGES, KERALA GORS

Corrified to be true Copyt.

Assistant Regimes ([Copytet coner(s)

Supreme Court of Jud's | Bearondent (a)

(With apple (a) for intervention and modification and directions and implementation are party respondent and with prayer for interior relief and office report)

NITH FIP(C) NO. 14356 of 2005 (With appln.(4) for examption from filing O.T. and c/delay in filing counter affidewit and office report)

M.F. (CAL.) NO. 173 of 2006 (Fith appin.(s) for directions and exemption from filing O.T. and urging addl. ground and with office report)

fir(C) hD. rd296~24299 of 2004 (Hith prayer for interim relief and office report)

inta: 15/05/2007 These Paritions were called on for bearing today.

1 15 X 3

HON'BLE OF. JUSTICE ARTUIT PASATAT HON'BLE PR. JUSTICE S.B. KAPADIA

> Mr. Gopal Subponentam, A.S.G. (A.C.) Mrs. Sushma Seri, Adv.

Mr. Abhighuk Towari, Adv.

for Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Sathish Adv.

Or. Sushil Balwade, Adv.

Mr. Setbir Tillania, Adv.

Mr. Anil Karnwel, Adv.

Mr. Preshent Ruser, Adv.

Ms. Pooja Dhar, Adv.

Mr. Ratna Kaul, Adv.

for M/s AP & J Chambers, Mrs.

for Respondent (s)

Mr. Ranjit Empar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.H.S. Anan, Asiv.

Mr. Farlin Andr. Mdv.

Mr. F.V. Dinesh, Adv. Mrs. Sindha T.P., Adv. Mr. P.V. Vipod, Adv. Mr. Sandony B.K., Adv.

Mr. KH. Nobin Siegh, Adv.

Er. Savid Ran, Mr.

Mr. S. Biswejit Maital, Adv.

Hr. Huno; Saurup, Ldw.

Mr. Leist Kohli, Adv.

You M/s. Hanoj Swarup & Co., Advs.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

Hr. M.P. Vince, hdw.

Pr. Ajay K. Jain, Adv.

Hr. Sjith P., Adr.

Mr. K. R. Seelprubbe, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Essar Sinka, Adv.

Mr. M.K. Hickesl, Adv.

Mr. K.K.D. Hemboodiri, Mdv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr. Shivaji H. Sedhav, Liv.

Pr. Bacha Shyan Jens, Adv.

For Res.1-3 4 5 An WP 173/06;

Mr. Soll J. Sorabjee, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Vibbe Datte Makhija

Mr. Hanish Rusar, Adv.

Hr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

# UPON Learning counsel the Court made the following O A D E R

He have persent the Report of the Committee constituted pursuant to this Court's order to segment remedial measures to tackle with the problem of rapping in educational institutions. An elaborate report has been submitted by the Committee braded by Dr. 3.%. Raphawan. According to the Committee, the following factors need to be focused to tackle with the problem:

- (a) Primary responsibility for curbing ragging rests with academic institutions themselves.
- (b) Ragging advaragly impacts the standards of higher education.

- (c) Incentives should be available to institutions for curbing the senses and there should be disinountives for failure to do so.
- (d) Eurolment in accdemic pursuits or a campus life should not immunize any adult citizen from penal provisions of the laws of the land.
- (a) Rayging needs to be perceived as failure to inculcate human values from the schooling stage.
- (f) Behavioural patterns among students, particularly potential 'raggers', need to be identified.
- (a) Measures against regging must deber its recessands.
- (h) Concerted action is required at the level of the school, higher educational institution, district administration, university, State and Central Governments to make any curb effective.
- (i) Hedis and the Civil Society should be involved in this exercise.

The Cormittee has made several recommendations. For the present, we feel that the following recommendations should be implemented without any further lapse of time.

- (1) The punishment to be mated out like to be examplary and justifiably hersh to act as a determent against recurrence of such inclients.
- parent/quardian or the Band of institution is not satisfied with the institutional arrangement for action, a first information Report must be faled without exception by the institutional authorities with the local police authorities. Any fallure on the part of the institutional authority or negligence or deliberate dalay in localing the FIR with the local police shall be construed to so an act of culpable

negligence on the part of the institutional authority. If any victir or his perent/quardian of ragging intends to file PIR directly with the police, that will not absolve the institutional authority from the requirement of filing the FIR.

(5) Courts should make an effort to ensure that cases involving ragging are taken up on a priority basis to send the currect sessage that ragging is not only to be discourages but also to be dealt with staroness.

In adultion, we direct that the possibility of introducing in the educational curriculum a subject relating to ragging shall be explored by the National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) and the respective State Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). This report can be included in the teaching of the subjects "Human Rights".

In the prospectue to be issued for admission by educational institutions, it shall be clearly stipulated that in case the applicant for admission is found to have indulged in ragging in the peat or if it is noticed later that he has indulged in ragging, acmission say he refused or he shall be expelled from the educational institution.

The Central Government and the State Governments shall taunch a programme giving wide publicity to the mensor of rapping and the consequences which follow in uses any student in detected to have been involved in ranging.

It shall be the collective responsibility of the authorities and functionaries of the concerned institution and their role shall ease be open to sorratiny for the purpose of finding out whether they have taken effective steps for preventing ragging and in case of their failure, sotion can be taken; for example, denial of any grant-in-aid or assistance

from the State Governments.

Anti-regging committees and equads shall be forthwith formed by the institutions and it shall be the job of the committee or the square, as the case may be, to see that the Committee's recommendations, more particularly those noted above, are observed without exception and if it is noticed that there is any deviation, the same shall be forthwith brought to the notice of this Court.

The Constitute constituted pursuant to the order of this Court shall continue to monitor the functioning of the anti-regging committees and the equade to be formed. They shall also monitor the implementation of the recommendations to which reference has been more above.

Post these matters in September, 2007 for further directions on the recommendations received from the Committee. I.A.No.5/2007 in 8.1.P.(C) No.24295/2004:

Issue notice.

Assponse, if any, by the University shall be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned amique ouries shall also indicate his views.

#### Mrit Petition (Crl.) No.173/2006:

List this petition separately in September, 2007.

IN. Annapurna) Dis-

(Madhe Saxena) Court Master